

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/613,445 | 07/03/2003 | Thomas E. Nahill | 18161 USA | 6518 |
| 21127 7 | 590 09/09/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| KUDIRKA & JOBSE, LLP ONE STATE STREET | | | MIGGINS, MICHAEL C | |
| SUITE 800 | · · | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| BOSTON, MA | A 02109 | | 1772 | |

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | The state of the s | 1 4 10 44 - 3 | <u> </u> | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | 10/613,445 | NAHILL ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Michael C. Miggins | 1772 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence addres | s | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI | VIS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M | MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) D | AYS | | | | |
| WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR te, cause the application to become Al | CATION. reply be timely filed YTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | • | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16. | June 2005. | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINA L. 2b)□ Th | | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 3-10 is/are pending in the applicatio | n. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | awn from consideration. | | , | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-10</u> is/are rejected. | | • | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir | ner. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | Examiner. Note the attache | d Office Action or form PTO-1 | 52. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: | n priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | st of the certified copies no | r received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 | | (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 | 2) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/16/05</u> . | 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/613,445

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

REJECTIONS WITHDRAWN

There are no rejections withdrawn.

REJECTIONS REPEATED

All of the 35 USC 103(a) rejections and obviousness-type double patenting rejections set forth in the non-final rejection of 1/11/05, pages 2-6, paragraphs 5-10 are repeated for the reasons of record.

NEW REJECTIONS

There are no new rejections

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

Applicant's arguments filed 6/16/05 have been carefully considered but are deemed unpersuasive.

Applicant has argued that Valyi fails to disclose applicant's expanded neck. However, Valyi discloses that the finish is kept from moving axially by expansion of the preform under flange 22 (column 4, lines 23-25). The area adjacent the flange, that is the area above and below the flange are part of the neck (see especially Fig. 4). Therefore, in contrast to applicant's assertion, Valyi disclose an expanded neck.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by

Application/Control Number: 10/613,445 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the references are combinable because both deal with blow molded containers and Tsukada provides the clear motivation of stabilizing the neck section physically.

In response to applicant's argument that Tsukada is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Tsukada is directly related to applicant's field of endeavor since Tsukada is drawn to a blow molded container having crystallized to stabilize the neck section.

Applicant provides substantially the same arguments as presented above against the obviousness-type double patenting rejections and for the patentability of the instant dependent claims to which the examiner incorporates by reference the arguments presented above.

Conclusion

1. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Miggins whose telephone number is 571-272-1494. The examiner can normally be reached on 1:00-10:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772

MCM

September 6, 2005